



IMAGING AND DIAGNOSTIC TESTING

IMPROVING THE SPECIFICITY OF EXERCISE TESTING IN WOMEN BY HIGH-FREQUENCY QRS ANALYSIS

ACC Poster Contributions

Georgia World Congress Center, Hall B5

Monday, March 15, 2010, 3:30 p.m.-4:30 p.m.

Session Title: Exercise Testing for Coronary Artery Disease and Beyond

Abstract Category: Exercise Physiology and Testing

Presentation Number: 1200-212

Authors: *David Rosenman, Yaakov Mogilevski, Shimon Abboud, Dan Tzivoni, Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel*

Background: Exercise testing (ETT) in women with suspected coronary artery disease (CAD) has limited specificity, leading to an excessive rate of unnecessary angiographies. Analysis of high-frequency QRS (HFQRS) components was recently shown to be more accurate than ST changes in identifying stress-induced ischemia, independent of gender. We aimed to evaluate the diagnostic value of HFQRS in women referred to angiography.

Methods: Analysis was performed in 25 women (age 62±10 yo) referred to non urgent angiography, which served as the gold standard for comparison. Pts underwent ETT using the HyperQ system, which provides both conventional ECG and HFQRS signals. HFQRS diagnosis was determined by computerized analysis, measuring the stress-induced reduction in HFQRS intensity. The diagnostic performance of HFQRS was compared to ST segment analysis and clinical symptoms during ETT.

Results: Angiography indicated significant CAD in 13 pts (52%), and insignificant or no stenosis in 12 pts. Clinical ETT interpretation was abnormal in 22 pts and inconclusive in 3 pts. Ischemic ST changes and chest pain observed during exercise were sensitive but highly non-specific for CAD diagnosis (Table). HFQRS analysis provided significantly higher specificity of 83% (P<0.05 vs ST changes), with similar sensitivity of 77%.

Conclusions: HFQRS analysis improved the specificity of ETT in diagnosing CAD, while retaining high sensitivity. Thus, HFQRS may reduce the number of unnecessary angiography procedures in women.

The diagnostic performance of HFQRS compared to conventional ETT

	Sensitivity (N=13)	Specificity (N=12)	Accuracy (N=25)
HFQRS	77%	83%*	80%
ST changes	85%	25%	56%
Chest pain	77%	58%	68%
Clinical diagnosis	92%	17%	56%

* P < 0.05 vs. ST changes